

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

EAST HANOVEK, NJ 07936-1080 3352	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
NÓVARTIS CORROBATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ONE HEALTH PLAZA 101/2 EAST HANOVER, NJ 07936-1080 AKTUST PAPER NUMBE 3752	10/738,912	12/16/2003	Herman E. Snyder	PAT053285-US-CNT	8356
CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ONE HEALTH PLAZA 101/2 EAST HANOVER, NJ 07936-1080 3752		7590 09/07/201	EXAM	UNER	
EAST HANOVER, NI 07936-1080 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE 3752	CORPORATE		BOECKMANN, JASON J		
3752				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MAIL DATE DELIVERY MOI		,		3752	
MAIL DATE DELIVERY MOI					
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/738,912	SNYDER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jason J. Boeckmann	3752	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

WHIC - Exte after	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Instant of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. SKY (8) MONTHS from the mailtied date of this communication.			
- Failu Any) period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, ret or orply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDCNEC DSU.S.C.; \$133), reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any edjant term adjustanters. See 37 CFR ET.704(b).			
Status				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 August 2010.			
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 26 is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>26</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 16 December 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			

Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S6/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date. 5) Actice of Informal Patent Application. 6) Other:
S. Patent and Trademark Office	

Application/Control Number: 10/738,912

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of claim 31 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Leger (5,580,237). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/738,912

Art Unit: 3752

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Platz et al. (6,051,256), in view of Leger (5,580,237).

Leger shows an atomizer, the atomizer comprising a first liquid flow channel (18), the first annular liquid flow channel comprises a constriction (between elements 17 and 1) that has a diameter for spreading the liquid into a thin film in the channel (it is noted that the nozzle of Leger is capable of producing thin film), the atomizer further comprising first circular gas flow channel (5) and a second annular gas flow channel (19) for an atomizing gas flow, wherein the first liquid flow channel is intermediate to the first and second channel gas flow channels (fig 2) and the first and second gas flow channels being positioned so that the atomizing gas impinges the liquid thin film to produce droplets, the atomizer further including a third gas flow channel (10) in fluid communication with a perpendicular to (col 2, lines 45-65) the first gas flow channel wherein a flow of gas exiting the third gas flow channel impinges the thin film at a right angle thereto, but does not specifically disclose that the diameter of the constriction is less than .51mm (or .1mm for claims 29 and 47), or that the system includes a drying chamber to dry the droplets to form particles; and a collector to collect the particles.

However, Platz et al. shows a spray drying system that includes an atomizer (57) to create fine liquid droplets, a drying chamber (50) to dry the droplets to form particles; and a collector (76) to collect the particles.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to use the drying chamber and collector of Platz et al, Application/Control Number: 10/738,912

Art Unit: 3752

with the atomizer of Leger, in order to dry and collect the atomized fluid that leaves the atomizer, as taught by Platz et al.

The system of Leger as modified by Platz et al. above still fails to disclose that the constriction that has a diameter of less than .1mm

However, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the diameter of the constriction less than .1 mm since our reviewing courts have held that where the only difference between the prior art and the claims was a recitation of relative dimensions of the claimed device and a device having the claimed relative dimensions would not perform differently than the prior art device, the claimed device was not patentably distinct from the prior art device. *Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc.*, 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 31 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3752

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason J. Boeckmann whose telephone number is (571)272-2708. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00, Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Len Tran can be reached on (571) 272-1184. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jason J Boeckmann/ Examiner, Art Unit 3752 9/2/2010